

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,319	11/06/2003	Ranjan Perera	ARBG-004/07US (307197-203	7997
	7590 05/16/200'	EXAMINER		
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTN: Patent Group Suite 500 1200 - 19th Street, NW			QIAN, CELINE X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20036-2402		1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/702,319	PERERA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ma  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	99				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-15 is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	n from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0307.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/702,319

Art Unit: 1636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-15 are pending in the application. Claims 12-15 are withdrawn from consideration for being directed to non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-11 are currently under examination.

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 3/1/07.

## Response to Amendment

The rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C.102 has been withdrawn because Applicant's argument is persuasive.

The rejection of claims 2, 4-8, 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C.112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph has been withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendment.

The rejection of claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C.112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph is maintained for reasons set forth of the record mailed on 12/1/06 and further discussed below.

#### Response to Arguments

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1636

In response to this rejection, Applicants argue that the specification teaches a functional vascular tissue-specific promoter E. grandis cOMT promoter contained in SEQ ID NO: 113,

which is the sequence disclosed in Figure 2 (nt 1019-1043). Applicants assert that SEO ID NO: 12, which is 98.1 % identical to 1019-1676 and 1019-1643 of SEO ID NO: 113, and 1-1643 of SEQ ID NO: 113 also has promoter activity as shown in Figure 3 and 4. Applicants further assert that 7 fragments of E. grandis cOMT promoter are disclosed in co-pending application 10/703,091. Applicants indicates along with the teaching of how to test fragments of the SEO ID NO: 112 provided in the instant specification, one of ordinary skilled in the art would be able to identify the promoter contained in SEQ ID NO: 113. Moreover, Applicants argue since the sequence recited in claim 2 are disclosed in the specification, based on the teaching of the specification, one of skilled in the art would be able to test whether the claimed sequence has promoter activity. Applicants thus conclude that the written description requirement is met.

Applicants' argument has been fully considered but deemed unpersuasive. In response to Applicant's argument with regard to the description of fragments of SEQ ID NO: 113 in another application, Applicants are reminded that this information is not provided in the instant specification as originally filed, and the copending application 10/703,091 is not incorporated by reference. The 112 1st statue requires the specification to provide sufficient description of the claimed invention at the time of filing. Based on the teaching of the instant specification, there is only the promoter used in construct cOMT1700 and cOMT667 have vascular tissue specific promoter activity. Although Figure 2 discloses a sequence within SEQ ID NO: 113 in bold letter, it is unclear whether this is the sequence used in the construct depicted as cOMT667 in Figure 3. Further, it is rather confusing from Applicant's earlier statement that SEQ ID NO: 12

Application/Control Number: 10/702,319

Art Unit: 1636

is a portion of SEQ ID NO: 113 from nt 1019-1675, whereas the response filed on 3/1/07 states that SEQ ID NO: 12 is 98.1% identical to 1019-1676. It is clear that the fragment used in cOMT667 has promoter activity, but whether this fragment is contained in the SEQ ID NO: 113 is unknown. As such, the specification only discloses the fragment from 1-1643 of SEQ ID NO: 113 has promoter activity which is contained in SEQ ID NO: 113. Thus, the specification fails to disclose a representative number of species of the claimed genus by their complete structure and other identifying character.

In response to the arguments with regard to claim 2, Applicants are reminded that describing methods of identifying the claimed sequence does not constitutes as description of the actual sequences. MPEP 2163 [R-5] I section A states "The claimed invention as a whole may not be adequately described where an invention is described solely in terms of a method of its making coupled with its function and there is no described or art-recognized correlation or relationship between the structure of the invention and its function. A biomolecule sequence described only by a functional characteristic, without any known or disclosed correlation between that function and the structure of the sequence, normally is not a sufficient identifying characteristic for written description purposes, even when accompanied by a method of obtaining the claimed sequence." The instant specification does not disclose any 20-mer, 40-mer...or 600mer as recited in claim 2, and any sequence having sequence homology with SEQ ID NO: 113, SEQ ID NO: 12 and 60 have the claimed vascular tissue specific promoter activity. As such, the merely description of the test to determine whether these claimed sequences have the claimed function is not sufficient for provide adequate description to the claimed sequences. Therefore, for reasons discussed in the previous office action and above, this rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1636

## Election/Restrictions

Applicants requested the rejoinder of claims 12-15 upon the allowance of claims 1-11.

Applicants are reminded that the *in re Ochi* rule only applies to restriction between products and methods of making and using said products. As such, upon the allowance of claims 1-11, claim 15 will be rejoined at that time. However, since claims 12-14 are directed to a plant, which is a related product to the invention of group I, and methods of producing said plant, they are not deemed as process claims for making and using the product of group I. Thus, they will not be rejoined.

#### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celine X. Qian Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0777. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Woitach Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Celine X Qian Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1636

CELINE QIAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER